

Article ID: 1007-4627(2017)01-0013-03

Several Controversial Issues of QED₃

FENG Hongtao

(Department of Physics, Southeast University, Nanjing 211189, China)

Abstract: Quantum electrodynamics in (2+1) dimensions (QED₃) is an important nonperturbative system. This seems relatively simple Abel system, there are several issues that need to be clarified: whether or not the partition function of the system depends on chemical potential; whether or not there exists dynamical chiral symmetric breaking; whether or not the boson can acquire nonzero mass. In this paper, we give an insight of the traits of QED₃ from the dependence of density, temperature and massive boson to discuss those problems.

Key words: QED₃; dependence of density; massive boson

CLC number: O571.2 **Document code:** A **DOI:** 10.11804/NuclPhysRev.34.01.013

1 Introduction

QED₃ has been widely studied for many years. It has many features similar to quantum chromodynamics (QCD), such as the dynamical chiral symmetry breaking (DCSB) in the massless fermion limit and confinement^[1-5]. Moreover, QED₃ is superrenormalizable, so it does not suffer from the ultraviolet divergence which is present in QED₄. Therefore it can serve as a toy model of more realistic theories such as QCD. Besides, QED₃ has been applied to study some problems in condensed matter physics. Especially, QED₃ can be regarded as a model for high- T_c superconductivity and fractional quantum Hall effect^[6-10].

This Abelian system has many strange features, but it only exists in 2-dimensional space which can be treated as 3-dimension with the vanishing 3rd-dimension. As a typical system of quantum field theory, we need to talk about its basic properties. Whether or not it still has the same properties as the three-dimensional space system. These common issues include: the density effect of the system, the symmetry breaking at finite temperature and boson mass at zero temperature and zero density in this system. In order to further understand the nature of the system, we will proceed from these basic parameters, discuss the basic properties of the anomalous field theory.

2 Whether or not QED₃ depends on μ ?

In Euclidean space, the Lagrangian of QED₃ with

finite chemical potential μ reads

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}(\not{\partial} + ie\not{A} - \mu\gamma_3 + m)\psi + \frac{1}{4}F_{\sigma\nu}^2, \quad (1)$$

where the four-component spinors are employed and the term $\mu\bar{\psi}\gamma_3\psi (= \mu\psi^\dagger\psi)$ gives the effect of chemical potential. In the absence of the mass term $m\bar{\psi}\psi$, \mathcal{L} has chiral symmetry and the symmetry group is $U(2)$. The original $U(2)$ symmetry reduces to $U(1) \times U(1)$ when the massless fermion acquires a nonzero mass due to nonperturbative effects. Here, we note that the dependence of chemical potential on QED₃ is different from that in (3+1)-dimensional QED (QED₄). In the case of QED₄, the partition function is independent of chemical potential^[11, 12]. First, let us explain physically why the partition function does not depend on μ . In QED₄, the interaction potential between two charges is the long-range Coulomb potential:

$$V_4(r) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \frac{e_i e_j}{r},$$

where $r = |x_i - x_j|$. The free charge carriers interact repulsively with the long-range Coulomb force, and hence all the net charge resides on the surface. So the partition function does not depend on μ . Now, let us see what happens in QED₃. In QED₃ the classical interaction potential associated with the dressed photon propagator can be written as:

$$V_3(r) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \frac{e_i e_j}{2\pi[1 + \Pi(0)]} \ln(|e_i e_j r|) + h(r) + \text{const}, \quad (2)$$

Received date: 20 Sep. 2016; **Revised date:** 11 Oct. 2016

Foundation item: Fundamental Research Funds for Central Universities (2242014R30011)

Biography: FENG Hongtao(1978-), male, Luoyang, Henan, Doctor of Science, working on strongly correlated system at finite temperature and finite density; E-mail: fenght@seu.edu.cn.

where $\Pi(0)$ is the nonnegative but finite infrared value of boson polarization and $h(r)$ falls off at least as fast as $1/r$ [13, 14]. From the potential of QED₄, we see that the interaction between electrons in QED₄ reveals screening behavior, while the potential of QED₃ shows that the interaction between two fermions reveals typical anti-screening features (similar to the color anti-screening in QCD). Since the charge carriers interact attractively with a long-range force, the net charges cannot reside on the surface, which is quite different from the case of QED₄. In other words, the homogeneous “bulk” of the medium cannot be neutral. Because a non-zero chemical potential corresponds to the system which is charged, the partition function in QED₃ depends on μ and hence the propagators, phase transition in QED₃ are also affected by chemical potential.

3 Whether or not we can study chiral phase transition in thermal QED₃?

Here, one may accept the absence of true symmetry breaking in 2-dimensional space system at $T > 0$ for a consequence of infrared divergent fluctuations, mandated by the Coleman-Mermin-Wagner theorem. Of course, the theorem give us a forceful restriction, *i.e.*, nonexistent DCSB in 2-d space including (2+1)-dimensional QCD, and thus there not exists rigorous chiral phase transition which is well-defined in (3+1)-dimensional system.

However, the DCSB in QED₃ at finite temperature is studied by a self-consistent calculation of DSE in rainbow approximation in QED₃, which likes the mean field theory in condensed matter. In such a theory, the correlation between fluctuations of the order parameter is ignored. This is known to be unreliable for continuous symmetry breaking, since the Coleman-Mermin-Wagner theorem states that there is no continuous symmetry breaking in two-dimensional space. Nevertheless, the mean field transition temperature provides a correct energy scale below which the amplitude of the order parameter becomes finite and its spatial correlation becomes strong and rather long-ranged. In this sense, the mean field transition marks a crossover in the thermodynamic properties. In particular, for a $U(1)$ or $O(2)$ symmetry to be broken, there is in fact an algebraic order below the so-called Kosterlitz-Thouless (KT) transition temperature (the transition can be found in several 2-D systems in condensed matter physics that are approximated by the XY model, including Josephson junction arrays and thin disordered superconducting granular films. More recently, the term has been applied by the 2-D superconductor insulator transition community to the pin-

ning of Cooper pairs in the insulating regime, due to similarities with the original vortex KT transition), a temperature not far from the mean field one. Moreover, in a realistic layered system, the inter-layer coupling can easily drive the system into a true ordered state once the in-plane correlations are already strong, *e.g.*, below the mean field transition temperature.

4 Whether or not the boson has nonzero mass in QED₃?

(3+1)-dimensional QED, the massless boson (or the photon) gives the long-range interaction, but reduce a short one at finite temperature and density where photon acquires a nonzero mass. At zero temperature and density, the mass of boson in QED₃ will be different from that in QED₄. Because of the nonperturbative features of QED₃, the gauge boson will acquire a mass through Anderson-Higgs mechanism which happens when the gauge field interacts with some scalar field in the phase with spontaneous gauge symmetry breaking. In physics, this phenomenon occurs in the state of plane superconductivity where spontaneous gauge symmetry breaking appears. In principle, to make sure the gauge field obtaining a mass, we can introduce the additional interaction term between gauge field A_μ and complex scalar boson field ϕ :

$$\mathcal{L}' = \mathcal{L} + \mathcal{L}_B \quad (3)$$

$$\text{with } \mathcal{L}_B = [(\partial_\mu + ieA_\mu)\phi]^2 - \mu^2|\phi|^2 - \lambda|\phi|^4. \quad (4)$$

\mathcal{L}_B is so-called Abelian Higgs model or relative Ginzburg-Landau model [15]. The scalar field ϕ represents the bosonic holons based on the spin-charge separation picture. When $\mu^2 > 0$, the system stays in the normal state and the vacuum expectation value of boson field $\langle \phi \rangle = 0$, so the Lagrangian respects the local gauge symmetry. When $\mu^2 < 0$, the system enters the superconducting state and the boson field develops a finite expectation value $\langle \phi \rangle \neq 0$, then the local gauge symmetry is spontaneously broken and the gauge field acquires a finite mass after absorbing the massless Goldstone boson. The finite gauge field mass is able to characterize the achievement of superconductivity. On the other hand, the gauge field obtains a mass via Anderson-Higgs mechanism implies that the gauge field is in confinement phase, which deduce that the spions and holons are confined in superconducting phase [16]. It is well known that neither spinon nor holon can be observed in high- T_c superconducting experiments, however, a well defined quasi-particle can be observed due to the spin-charge recombination in superconducting phase.

5 Conclusions

The phase transition of QED₃ has been studied more than 30 years. As a relatively “simple” system, there are three fundamental issues that need to be further studied. Firstly, we analyze its interaction potential of gauge boson and show that the partition function of QED₃ should depend on density. Because DCSB occurs in low energy region, we then illustrated that the system can exist DCSB at not high temperature without violating the basic thermodynamic laws. In the last section, we show that the boson may acquire a nonzero mass via Higgs mechanism. Of course, in order to further confirm those observations, we need to study those issues in future.

References:

- [1] BURDEN C J, PRASCHIFKA J, ROBERTS C D. Phys Rev D, 1992, **46**: 2695.
- [2] MARIS P. Phys Rev D, 1995, **52**: 6087.
- [3] GRIGNANI G, SEMENOFF G, SODANO P. Phys Rev D, 1996, **53**: 7157.
- [4] MARIS P. Phys Rev D, 1996, **54**: 4049.
- [5] BASHIR A, RAYA A, CLOET I C, ROBERTS C D. Phys Rev C, 2008, **78**: 055201.
- [6] WEN X G, ZEE A. Phys Rev Lett, 1992, **69**: 1811.
- [7] RANTNER W, WEN X G. Phys Rev Lett, 2001, **86**: 3871.
- [8] FRANZ M, TESANOVIC Z. Phys Rev Lett, 2001, **87**: 257003.
- [9] LIU G Z, CHENG G. Phys Rev B, 2002, **66**: 100505.
- [10] HERBUT I F. Phys Rev Lett, 2002, **88**: 047006; HERBUT I F. Phys Rev B, 2002, **66**: 094504; HERBUT I F. Phys Rev Lett, 2005, **94**: 237001.
- [11] BARBOUR I, BEHILIL N E. Nucl. Phys B, 1986, **275**[FS17]: 296.
- [12] NARAYANAN R. Phys Rev D, 2012, **86**: 087701.
- [13] BURDEN C J, PRASCHIFKA J, ROBERTS C D. Phys Rev D, 1992, **46**: 2695.
- [14] GRIGNANI G, SEMENO G, SODANO P. Phys Rev D, 1996, **53**: 7157.
- [15] KLEINERT H, NOGUEIRA F S, SUDBO A. Nucl. Phys B, 2003, **666**: 361.
- [16] FRADKIN E, SHENKER S H, Phys Rev D, 1979, **19**: 3682.

三维 QED 中的几个重要问题

冯红涛¹⁾

(东南大学物理系, 南京 211189)

摘要: 三维量子电动力学是一种看上去比较简单的 Abel 类型的非微扰系统, 其本身却有很多需要澄清的基本问题。从该系统是否具有密度依赖性, 有限温下是否具有动力学自发对称破却以及规范玻色子可否具有质量这三方面出发, 阐述了对三维量子电动力学一些基本问题的看法。

关键词: 三维 QED; 密度的依赖性; 具有质量的玻色子

收稿日期: 2016-09-20; 修改日期: 2016-10-11

基金项目: 中央高校基本科研业务费专项基金(2242014R30011)

1) E-mail: fenght@seu.edu.cn.